



The Planning Inspectorate

Application by Ørsted Hornsea Project Four Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm

Hearing Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 8 (ISH8) dealing with matters relating to onshore environmental matters held virtually on the morning of Tuesday 19 July 2022

Action	Description	Action by	When
1	Submit updated traffic data or summary of the updated data referred to on page 78 of [REP5-074].	Applicant	Deadline (D) 6
2	Review minutes of the meetings of the Onshore Sub Station (OnSS) Consultation Group and provide signposting of where the minutes for the Parish Councils referred to in [REP5-074] can be found that include confirmation of Option 4 as the preferred access road option.	Applicant	D6
3	Provide information on how details for the new access road to Jillywood Farm and other properties including Mouse Hill, which would arise as a result of Jocks Lodge works, would be determined and consulted upon.	East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) (Highways)	D6
4	Confirm the distance between the nearest part of the proposed OnSS access road and the eastern boundary of Jillywood Lane Local Wildlife Site.	Applicant	D6
5	Add the Environmental Risk Assessment of the OnSS and Energy Balancing Infrastructure (EBI) [AS-020] to Schedule 15 of the draft Development Consent Order (DCO).	Applicant	D7
6	Table 1 of the Environmental Risk Assessment of the OnSS and EBI [AS-020] uses a matrix approach to the scoring and rating of risk that shows how a low, medium or high risk rating is calculated by multiplying the likelihood of an occurrence by the severity of the hazard. Table 2 Action Summary	Applicant	D6

Action	Description	Action by	When
	indicates that both a low (ie green) and medium (ie orange) risk rating means that the activity is considered acceptable and can be screened out of the need for assessment. Provide details of the established methodology on which this approach is based, especially in terms of the medium (orange) risk rating?		
7	Table 3 of [AS-020] shows that the residual severity of a fire on human, flora and fauna receptors has dropped from a 3 to a 2, and for an explosion has reduced from an initial severity of 5 to a residual severity of 2 (after risk management techniques have been applied). Explain how these residual severity figures have been calculated?	Applicant	D6
8	In the oral summary for ISH8 to provide the references for why in the Applicant's opinion there is a need for flexibility over the use of either High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) or High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) notwithstanding the effect of HVDC from a landscape and visual perspective.	Applicant	D6
9	Listen to recording and provide any comments as required for the discussions on Agenda Item 4 – onshore water environment, with particular reference to whether the issues in relation to Watton Beck have been satisfactorily resolved.	Environment Agency (EA)	D6
10	If matters remain outstanding between the Applicant and the EA at the close of the Examination both parties to produce a position statement on any matters that are not agreed in the final Statement of Common Ground.	EA and the Applicant	D7
11	Applicant confirmed that specific response to Further Written Question (ExQ2) ES.2.1 relates to offshore topics and will check whether cumulative effects on individual receptors for onshore environment have been adequately addressed. Applicant to confirm if specific	Applicant	D6

Action	Description	Action by	When
	response has been provided and if so signpost where it can be found, if not to provide a response.		
12	Confirm as part of oral summary Mr Watts response on Agenda Item 6.	Applicant	D6
13	Provide the missing text from its response to ExQ2 ES.2.1 [PD-012].	Applicant	D6